

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address, COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box, 1430 Alexandras, Virginus 22313-1450 www.apon.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/764,913	01/17/2001	Patrick Edward Feierabend	8186	
7590 12/10/2003			EXAMINER	
JOHN S. FOSTER			COLEMAN, WILLIAM D	
4678 VIA HUE	RTO			
SANTA BARB	ARA, CA 93110		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2823	

DATE MAILED: 12/10/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO 90C (Rev 10/03)

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/764,9	913	FEIERABEND ET AL				
		Examine	ir	Art Unit				
		W. David	I Coleman	2823				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time map be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.135(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above a lises that miting (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above a lises that miting (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. Failure to reply within the set or standard period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35.U.S. 5, 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) fi	ed on						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL.	2b) This action is r	ion-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 14-32 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,4-5,7 and 13 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 3,6 and 8-12 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
* \$ 13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) \[Some * c) \] None of: \[\] Certified copies of the priorit \[\] Certified copies of the priorit \[\] Copies of the certified copies application from the Internati see the attached detailed Office act kicknowledgment is made of a claim ince a specific reference was includ 7 CFR 1.78. \[\] The translation of the foreign Is kcknowledgment is made of a claim eference was included in the first se \[\] The translation of the foreign Is kcknowledgment is made of a claim eference was included in the first se	y documents have be y documents have be s of the priority docum onal Bureau (PCT Rt on for a list of the cer for domestic priority t ed in the first sentence anguage provisional a for domestic priority of	en received. en received in Applicati ents have been receivel let 17.2(a)). liffled copies not receive under 35 U.S.C. § 119(i e of the specification or pplication has been rec- under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	ion No	al application) Data Sheet.			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)								
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)		Notice of Informal F Other.	atent Application (PT	O-152)			

Art Unit: 2823

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
 obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gooch et al., U.S. Patent 6,521,477 in view of Spooner et al., U.S. Patent Application
 Publication No.: 2002/0081816 A1.

Gooch discloses a semiconductor process substantially as claimed. See FIGS. 1-14 where

Gooch teaches a method for constructing an array of MEMS devices, comprising the steps of:
dicing a MEMS wafer to separate individual dies from the MEMS

wafer (column 1, lines 28-30);

placing the die in a holder (column 7, lines 5-10);

bringing the die in said holder into proximity to a second wafer;

adjusting the orientation of the die relative to the second wafer. However, Gooch fails to teach then connecting said die onto said second wafer. Spooner teaches connecting individual dies onto a second wafer. See FIGS. 1-42 where Spooner teaches connecting a MEMS die to a wafer 21 as seen in FIG. 4 In view of Spooner, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the process steps of Spooner into the Gooch semiconductor process because a wafer cap protects micro electromechanical system structures during dicing (see Abstract).

Art Unit: 2823

3. Pertaining to claim 2, Gooch in view of Spooner teaches the method of claim 1, further

Page 3

comprising the step of:

rotating said die out of the original plane of fabrication, before connecting to said

second wafer (since MEMS are fabricated on a major surface of a wafer, aligning is equivalent to

rotating).

4. Pertaining to claim 4, Gooch in view of Spooner teaches the method of claim 1, further

comprising the step of: connecting said die electrically to said second wafer.

5. Pertaining to claim 5, <u>Gooch in view of Spooner</u> teaches the method of claim 1, further

comprising the step of applying heat to a contact area between said die and said wafer, in order

to cure a bonding adhesive (hence, solder is the bonding adhesive in this case, column 6, lines

15-63).

6. Pertaining to claim 7, Gooch in view of Spooner teaches the method of claim 5, further

comprising the step of:

packaging the array in a eutectic seal.

7. Gooch in view of Spooner discloses a semiconductor process substantially as claimed.

Gooch in view of Spooner teaches a method for constructing an array of MEMS devices,

comprising the steps of:

dicing the MEMS wafer to separate individual rows from an original fabrication

wafer;

placing the row in a holder;

bringing the row in said holder into proximity to a second wafer,

Art Unit: 2823

adjusting the orientation of the row relative to the second wafer, and

connecting said die onto said second wafer. However, Gooch fails to teach the step of selecting

from the row, a set of contiguous devices within the row;

discarding from the row the devices not selected. These steps appear to mental steps as far as a

selecting and discarding because Applicant's claim provides no logical reasoning to select and

discard and is therefore a random process. In view of Gooch, it would have been obvious to one

of ordinary skill in the art to randomly select and discard a MEMS die because MEMS devices

are fragile especially after dicing (column 1, lines36).

Objections

8. Claims 3, 6 and 8-12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 10. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 2823

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to W. David Coleman whose telephone number is 703-305-0004.

The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM-5:00 PM. After February 4, 2004, I can be

reached at 571-272-1856

12. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri can be reached on 703-306-2794. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-7722.

13. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

W. David Coleman Primary Examiner Art Unit 2823 Page 5

WDC